

G I JOE            "Many . . . have assumed that ex-service men will be so tired of war  
SAYS:            that they will be very much in a mood to fix things so there will not  
                 be another war. From what I have seen of army men, even though they  
may be against another war, their characters and attitudes will be little changed.  
. . . Used to an attitude of intolerance toward other races or nationalities, used  
to a system of inequalities such as one finds in the army, used to being the idols  
of the country, they are not going to be in a frame of mind to accept changes which  
would relieve some of the tensions in society.

"You who are left behind had better do something toward providing a better and  
more livable world for us to come back to, for there are a lot of men learning to  
resolve their troubles with a gun. Men--living in cold rain and mud, missing meals,  
hearing the 'fwit' of bullets and shrapnel, losing friends--are not going to suffer,  
then come back to a brief flash of glory followed by the cold shoulder and the  
closed door. 'We'll do the fighting over here, but there is fighting to do at home,  
too!'"

----JOE.

Come to Cazenovia, August 1-10 and KEEP FAITH WITH G. I. JOE.

PEACE            This is "platform year." Our enclosure this month is a peace platform  
PLATFORM        proposed after long and careful study by the experienced Board of  
                 Governors of the Post War World Council. Think it through carefully.  
Then come to Cazenovia August 1-10 and HELP WITH THE PEACE TERMS!

QUIZ            "Nothing less than world government will establish world peace, even  
KIDS            in the least degree," declares Mortimer J. Adler, Professor of Law at  
                 Chicago University, and there is reason to believe that a substantial  
number of American citizens agree with him. But there is even greater reason to  
believe that a vaster number of people do not want world government. Do we then  
want world peace? Professor Adler has proposed ten tests by which we can tell wheth-  
er we want a truce plan or a peace plan. TEST YOURSELF. Then come to Cazenovia  
August 1-10 and with the help of distinguished leadership think through the PEACE  
TERMS.

1. Will local governments need and have a foreign policy and with it the work of  
a foreign office or state department, diplomats and emissaries?

If so, then even if there be some form of international organization  
it will be a mere league or confederacy, not a world federal government  
or a world state.

2. Will there be any need or room for treaties of "peace" contracted by separate  
political communities?

If so, then we do not have world government, federal or otherwise.

3. Do the states which are members of an international organization have the  
right to secede from that organization?

If so, then it is a mere league or confederacy, not a federal structure.

4. Must any rule or decision of an international council or assembly be adopted  
by the unanimous assent of all the states therein represented?

If so, then that legislative body belongs to a league or a confederacy.  
It is not the congress or parliament of a federal government, in which  
any type of majority rule can prevail.

5. Will there be immigration restrictions and trade barriers which affect the  
passage of peoples or goods across the boundaries of local communities?

If they are the enactments of the several local governments, and not  
of the world government, then the several local governments are not mere-  
ly local divisions of a central, federal government, but remain autonomous  
in their external relations.

6. Will there be, in addition to an international police force, armaments and  
military establishments held in reserve for some other purpose than the enforcement  
of federal or local laws?

If so, the international organization does not have the power proper  
to a federal government, and the member states have more power than is  
proper for local governments. The issue here is not between total dis-  
armament and the retention of some implements of force. The issue is  
rather between the status of such implements--as instruments of war or as  
instruments of law enforcement.

7. Will the internal affairs of the several states be entirely exempt from inter-  
vention by the international organization, even though the course of internal af-  
fairs in one state seriously affects the welfare of another?

If so, then the several states have merely joined a league or a confed-  
eracy. They have not become members of a federal organization.

8. Will individual men have citizenship only in their local community, being represented in world affairs in an indirect manner by emissaries of the state to which they belong? Will the international organization attempt to regulate states alone, affecting individuals indirectly, only through the mediation of the state to which they belong?

If so, then the international organization is not a federal government, and its laws and their enforcement do not operate in the federal manner.

9. Will the budget of the international agencies be met by a levy on the several states, in contrast to all methods of financing government by direct taxation upon individual citizens?

If so, then these international agencies belong to a league or confederacy. They are not the departments of a federal government.

10. Will patriotism still consist in a paramount devotion to the goodness of a local community and a desire to see it pre-eminent in any respect over other local communities, or at the expense of the general welfare?

If so, then such patriots have only a national allegiance. They are not citizens of the world, and there is no world community or workable government.

FOREIGN POLICY           The future as former Under Secretary of State Sumner Welles sees it for the United States if a United Nations Council is not set up in time: "Unremitting armaments building, territorial aggrandizement . . . and their inevitable adjunct, stark imperialism. . . That road leads only to the Third World War."

Archibald MacLeish, old friend of the President and now Librarian of Congress, also has forebodings: "The peace we seem to be making will be a peace of oil, a peace of gold, a peace of shipping--a peace, in brief, of factual situations, a peace without moral purpose or human intent, a peace of dicker and trade about the facts of commerce, the facts of banking, the facts of transportation, which will lead us where the treaties made by dicker and trade have always led."

What can we do about it? Come to Cazenovia August 1-10 and HELP US FIND OUT.

BOOK                    Would you like to have in one convenient collection the most recent thinking on problems of peace of such Americans as DeVere Allen, Harry Emerson Fosdick, John Haynes Holmes, E. Stanley Jones, Kirby Page, Clarence E. Pickett, Oswald Garrison Villard?

END                      Then order now from us. Price, post paid, \$1.50. Send in your orders promptly so that we can buy in one lot.